#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 460 063 SO 033 519

AUTHOR Bates, Angela; Cagle, Stacy; Rideout, Bruce

TITLE A Test for Lateralization of the Mozart Effect.

PUB DATE 2001-00-00

NOTE 8p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Auditory Perception; Higher Education; \*Listening; \*Music

Activities; Undergraduate Students

IDENTIFIERS Brain Activity; Cognitive Research; Mozart Effect; Research

Replication

#### ABSTRACT

The Mozart effect involves the enhancement of spatial processing after listening to a Mozart piano sonata (Rauscher, Dhaw, and Ky, 1993). Efforts to replicate the Mozart effect have been mixed, possibly due to differences in dependent variable operationalization across studies or large individual differences in magnitude of effect. Chabria and Kosslyn (1998) found that coordinate spatial processing was mediated by the right cerebral hemisphere, while the left hemisphere mediated categorical spatial processing, and that these processes could be affected by hemispheric bias in the projection of task-relevant information through the use of colored filters. The present study (conducted with 36 undergraduate students with less than two years of musical training) tested whether presentation of spatial task items on red versus green backgrounds could influence the Mozart effect as measured with a paper folding and cutting task. The use of the filters had no reliable effect on performance. (Contains 10 references.) (Author/BT)



SO 033 519

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Bruce E. Rideout

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

- CENTER (ERIC)

  This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.



#### Abstract

The Mozart effect involves the enhancement of spatial processing after listening to a Mozart piano sonata (Rauscher, Shaw, and Ky 1993). Efforts to replicate the Mozart effect have been mixed, possibly due to differences in dependent variable operationalization across studies or large individual differences in magnitude of effect. Chabris and Kosslyn (1998) found that coordinate spatial processing was mediated by the right cerebral hemisphere, while the left hemisphere mediated categorical spatial processing, and that these processes could be affected by hemispheric bias in the projection of task-relevant information through the use of colored filters. The present study tested whether presentation of spatial task items on red versus green backgrounds could influence the Mozart effect as measured with a paper folding and cutting task. The use of the filters had no reliable effect on performance.



In 1993, Rauscher, Shaw, and Ky reported that spatial processing was enhanced after listening to Mozart's Sonata for Two Pianos in D major (K448). Rauscher et al. produced the Mozart effect by first exposing their subjects to the sonata and then testing the spatial I.Q.s of the subjects. Of the many attempts to replicate this effect, several have been successful. In 1996, Rideout and Laubach found that spatial performance was significantly improved after exposure to Mozart in the context of a study of EEG correlates of the performance change. Rideout and Taylor (1997) also found a small but significant effect. Similarly, Rideout, Dougherty, and Wernert (1998) found a reliable improvement in spatial performance after listening to Mozart and also after a similar piece of modern music by the artist Yanni.

In another attempt to confirm the existence of the Mozart effect, Nantais and Schellenberg (1999) came to noteworthy conclusion. They found that Mozart enhanced spatial performance when the control condition was silence prior to a spatial task, but that no effect was present when a narrated story was the control condition. They concluded that the Mozart effect is a function of preference. Better performance followed the preferred condition, music or story.

Many other researchers have found results contrary to Rauscher et al. (1993).

Steele, Brown, and Stoecker (1999) found no reliable effect in their study in which they attempted to produce the Mozart effect and recover it after the presentation of a verbal distracter. Steele, Bass, and Crook (1999) also failed to replicate the Mozart effect. The most recent failure to replicate was made by McCutcheon (2000).

In a meta-analysis of studies of Mozart's effect on performance, Chabris (1999) states that the effect is very small and is isolated to only certain types of tasks. Many attempts to replicate may have failed due to inappropriate dependent measures or other procedural variations. A more reliable test of the Mozart effect is needed to confirm its existence.



One might assume that the spatial tasks enhanced by the Mozart effect are mediated by the right cerebral hemisphere. Chabris & Kosslyn (1998) point out, however, that while the right hemisphere processes coordinate spatial tasks, the left hemisphere mediates categorical spatial tasks. A spatial task may thus involve right and/or left hemisphere activity, and may also involve a shift from right to left as performance efficiency increases, as the authors point out. Due to the differential projection of the visual magnocellular and parvocellular fibers to the two hemispheres of the brain, color filters can be used to bias projection of task-relevant stimulus materials to one hemisphere or the other, thereby influencing performance. The color red was found to suppress right hemisphere coordinate spatial processing, while the color green was found to suppress left hemisphere categorical spatial processing (Chabris & Kosslyn, 1998). If the Mozart effect is due to enhanced right hemisphere activation from listening to music, differential suppression of hemispheric projection by means of color filters would be expected to influence the emergence of the effect. Therefore, spatial tasks presented on a green background would be more easily influenced by the presentation of the Mozart piano sonata. In this study, it was hypothesized that subjects who listened to Mozart and were presented spatial tasks on a green background would perform better than those tested on the red background.

#### Method

### **Participants**

Thirty-six undergraduate students (18 male, 18 female), ages ranging from 18-22 years old volunteered to be in the study. All of the students had two years or less of musical training and none of the students was given compensation for participation.



#### Procedure

First, the students listened to Mozart's Sonata for Two Pianos in D Major (K448) for approximately 10 minutes. They then orally responded to 16 paper folding and cutting tasks, as used in previous studies (e.g., Rideout & Taylor, 1997). These tasks were projected onto a screen from an overhead projector with either a red or a green filter. Half of the participants were present with tasks on a green background, while the tasks of the other half were presented on a red background. The subjects were given 40 seconds to respond to each item, with a 5 second warning before the end of the response time. The participants then answered 3 subjective questions, rating the music on a scale of 0-10 for enjoyment, familiarity, and complexity.

#### Results

Analysis of the data showed no reliable difference ( $\underline{t}$  = .33) between the performance of the subjects (number scored correct) with tasks presented on the green (mean 9.39, SD 2.85) versus the red background (mean 9.06, SD 2.93). Previous data, without controlling for color found a control mean of 10.78 (SD 3.47) and experimental mean of 11.84 (SD 2.89) (Rideout & Taylor, 1997).

#### Discussion

The lack of a reliable difference between the two groups suggests that the Mozart effect previously seen with the current spatial task and procedure is not due to simple enhancement of right hemisphere activation. Any bias in hemispheric projection due to the use of color filters clearly had no differential effect on performance. However, the level of performance by both treatment groups presents a new problem, since comparison to past data shows means for the red



and green groups that were both significantly smaller that the control and experimental values for past studies. Suppression of performance could be due to participant sample differences across studies, or due to reduced brightness of the display, which may have increased task difficulty slightly. It may also be that the task involves both hemispheres in an integrated way, and that the musical stimulus enhances this integration. The effect of reduced brightness is currently being investigated, along with the further testing of subjects under the same control procedure used in previous studies in this laboratory.



### References

Chabris, C. F., & Kosslyn, S. M. (1998). How do the cerebral hemispheres contribute to encoding spatial relations? Current Directions in Psychological Science, 7, 8-14.

Chabris, C. F. (1999). Prelude or requiem for the Mozart effect? Nature, 400, 826-827.

McCutcheon, L. (2000). The Mozart effect: another failure to generalize it. Psychological Reports, 87, 325-331.

Nantais, K.M., & Schellenberg, E. G. (1999). The Mozart effect: an artifact of preference. Psychological Science, 10, 370-373.

Rauscher, F. H., Shaw, G. L., & Ky, K. N. (1993). Music and spatial task performance. Nature, 365, 611.

Rideout, B. E., Dougherty, S., & Wernert, L. (1998). Effect of music on spatial performance: a test of generality. Perceptual & Motor Skills, 86, 512-514.

Rideout, B. E., & Laubach, C. M. (1996). EEG correlates of enhanced spatial performance following exposure to music. Perceptual & Motor Skills, 82, 427-432.

Rideout, B. E., & Taylor, J. (1997). Enhanced spatial performance following 10 minutes exposure to music: a replication. Perceptual & Motor Skills, 85, 112-114.

Steele, K. M., Bass, K. E., & Crook, M. D. (1999). Psychological Science, 10, 366-369.

Steele, K. M., Brown, J. D., & Stoecker, J. A. (1999). Failure to confirm the Rauscher & Shaw description of recovery of the Mozart effect. Perceptual & Motor Skills, 88, 843-848.





## U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

ł.	DOC	UMENT	IDENT	'IFICA	ATION:
----	-----	-------	-------	--------	--------

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	<u>l:</u>				
Title: A TEST FOR LATE	ERALIZATION OF THE	MOZART EFFECT			
Author(s): ANGELA BATES, ST	ACY CAGLE, + BRUCE R	IDEOUT			
COLLEGEVILLE F	Corporate Source:  URSINUS COLLEGE  COLLEGEVILLE PA 19426  Publication Date:				
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:					
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Re and electronic media, and sold through the ERI reproduction release is granted, one of the follow	e timely and significant materials of interest to the eduction (RIE), are usually made available C Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is usually made available to the document.  The important control of the document of the identified document, please CHECK ONE or the document of the identified document, please CHECK ONE or the identified document.	ele to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy is given to the source of each document, and, i			
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents			
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY			
sample	sample	Sample			
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)			
1	2A	2B			
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B			
$\boxtimes$					
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only			
	ents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality pe produce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proce				
I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document					

to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries. Printed Name/Position/Title: Sign

contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies

(over)

# III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
.,	
Price:	
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPY	RIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
	·
address:	y someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and
Name:	
Address:	
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:	
Sound this form to the following EDIO OL	
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	EDICIO: 00
	ERIC/CASS
	University of NC at Greensboro 201 Ferguson Building
	201 reignson Building

PO Box 6171

Greensboro, NC 27402-6171

